

Review

Stress and adolescence: vulnerability and opportunity during a sensitive window of development

Lucinda M. Sisk and Dylan G. Gee

Abstract

Adolescence is a period of dynamic change across multiple systems. Concurrent maturation of neural, biological, and psychosocial functioning renders adolescence a time of heightened sensitivity to both negative and positive experiences. Here, we review recent literature across these domains, discuss risk and opportunity in the context of ongoing neural development, and highlight promising directions for future research. Finally, we propose that conceptualizing adolescence as a sensitive window during which plasticity across multiple systems is enhanced may support the identification of links between experience, neurodevelopment, and psychopathology.

Addresses

Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

Corresponding author: Gee, Dylan G (dylan.gee@yale.edu)

Current Opinion in Psychology 2022, 44:286–292

This review comes from a themed issue on **Adolescent Development (2022)**

Edited by **Lydia Krabbendam** and **Barbara Braams**

For complete overview about the section, refer [Adolescent Development \(2022\)](#)

Available online 23 October 2021

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.10.005>

2352-250X/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords

Adolescence, Stress, Adversity, Neurodevelopment, Development, Caregiving, Stress reactivity, Plasticity, Psychopathology.

Introduction

Adolescence, typically defined as the developmental stage that begins with the onset of puberty and ends when individuals reach adulthood [1], is a unique time of neural, behavioral, and biological flux. This developmental period is characterized by a distinct increase in brain plasticity, pubertal maturation, and shifts in behavior, such as increased independence and attention to peer relationships [2]. The emergence of psychopathology also peaks in adolescence [3], with youth who have been exposed to stressful life events at elevated risk for developing psychopathology [4]. Understanding how stressors experienced prior to and during the dynamic adolescent period affect neurodevelopmental

trajectories, behavior, and mental health is of critical importance to efforts that aim to optimize interventions based on developmental stage.

Here, we review and discuss recent evidence suggesting that stressors exert differential influences on neurodevelopment and behavior during adolescence. In addition to heightened risk during adolescence, we consider how adolescence also confers unique opportunities for resilience, such as the buffering effects of a positive social environment. Finally, we explore promising directions for extending our understanding of the ways in which specific dimensions of stress may impact developmental change across systems during adolescence.

The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis during adolescence

Adolescence is a period of significant physiological maturation, including of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Enhanced plasticity of the HPA axis during adolescence is one potential pathway through which stress exposure may exert long-lasting effects, but also may represent a window of opportunity for resilience. The HPA axis is responsible for regulating the body's acute stress response, via the release of glucocorticoid hormones. Prolonged exposure to glucocorticoid hormones can lead to cumulative changes in neural structure and may mediate the association between stress exposure and brain structure and function [5,6]. Both heightened and blunted cortisol responses have been associated with stress exposure, underscoring the complexity of the effects that stress exerts on the neuroendocrine system [7]. Age and developmental stage are important factors contributing to this complexity. During adolescence, the onset of puberty triggers a cascade of hormonal changes that affect systems throughout the body [8–10], including the HPA axis. In rodents, the acute hormonal stress response lasts about twice as long in adolescent animals than in adults, possibly due to modulation by gonadal hormones [11]. Furthermore, in contrast to adult rodents whose hormonal stress responses habituated to chronic stress exposure, exposure to chronic stressors resulted in repeatedly heightened responses in adolescent rodents [9]. These adolescent-specific shifts in HPA signaling indicate that adolescence is a period of plasticity for the HPA axis, during which it is likely that the upstream regulators of the hormonal stress response

are undergoing maturation and adaptation to conditions of the current environment.

Although childhood stress can have effects that last into adulthood [12], not all children exposed to stress develop psychopathology [4], and development provides unique opportunities for resilience. Recent evidence highlights a particular phenomenon that may facilitate reshaping specifically during adolescence. Although early childhood is known to be a particularly potent period for sculpting stress reactivity [7,13,14], recent findings suggest that adolescence may represent a second developmental stage during which increased plasticity of the HPA axis facilitates recalibration based on the current environment [15,16]. Youth exposed to institutionalization in early childhood and later adopted into stable families showed a more blunted cortisol response in early puberty relative to never-institutionalized peers. However, youth previously exposed to institutionalization demonstrated longitudinal increases in cortisol response over the course of puberty, such that their cortisol responses did not differ from never-institutionalized youth by later puberty [15,16]. Critically, recalibration is dependent on a shift from the early adverse environment to a more predictable, less harsh environment during adolescence [16], and the precise role that such recalibration may play in later wellbeing remains unclear [17,18]. Evidence of pubertal recalibration is consistent with the possibility that neuroendocrine maturation confers HPA axis plasticity in adolescence, during which positive environmental input such as supportive caregiving may facilitate adaptive reshaping.

Neural maturation during adolescence

Neural plasticity is also heightened during adolescence, conferring greater sensitivity to both positive and negative environmental exposures [19–21]. Brain structure and function undergo substantial change during adolescence, likely owing in part to morphological changes such as synaptic pruning and myelination [22–25]. The brain matures in a region-specific and nonlinear manner, with some regions such as the hippocampus and amygdala reaching a mature state earlier than cortical regions, which continue to develop into adulthood [22,26]. Regions that undergo protracted development, such as the association cortex, may remain in a more plastic state during adolescence [20,26–28] and thus be more sensitive to environmental inputs during this time [19,29,30]. Prefrontal and limbic regions also have high densities of glucocorticoid receptors [6], and hormonal stress responses may interact with ongoing neurodevelopmental processes in ways that produce stress-associated changes in these regions during adolescence.

Although adolescence is posited to be a sensitive period for the development of the association cortex and

corresponding higher-order cognitive and affective processes [28], previous research has almost exclusively relied on animal models to examine molecular mechanisms of sensitive period onset and offset because it is challenging to identify biological hallmarks of a sensitive period in a noninvasive manner [31–34]. However, innovative work recently tested for changes in the excitatory to inhibitory neurotransmission ratio, which has been linked with sensitive period closure, in adolescents. The researchers used data from a sample of adults to generate a model that distinguished neural connectivity patterns associated with increased inhibitory neurotransmission among adults taking benzodiazepines [35]. Applying this model to a developmental sample of youth, results showed a gradual reduction in the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory patterns in association cortex across adolescence, aligning with past animal work on molecular properties that characterize the closing of sensitive periods [31–34]. These findings support the idea that adolescence represents a unique window of development, such that disruptions in expected inputs (such as predictable and nurturing social relationships) might exert heightened effects on the developing cortex.

A growing body of research has identified potential mechanisms by which stress exposure affects the developing brain and risk for psychopathology. Stress experienced in childhood can heighten sensitivity to future stressors, thereby increasing the likelihood of developing stress-related psychopathology in adolescence [36,37]. Recent evidence indicates that variation in subcortical brain volumes and fronto-amygdala functional connectivity may contribute to such effects of stress sensitization [38,39]. While the possible relation between stress sensitization and sensitive periods of plasticity has yet to be elucidated, sensitization effects may be particularly strong when the subsequent stress exposure occurs during periods of increased plasticity, such as adolescence. Another potential mechanism by which stress exposure may influence functioning is via more rapid neurobiological maturation [40,41], which parallels evidence of acceleration in pubertal development and cellular aging after stress [42,43]. Youth previously exposed to childhood stress display more mature patterns of connectivity between limbic and prefrontal regions [44–48,82], although the extent to which effects of acceleration may be specific to stress characterized by threat [42,49,50] or to corticolimbic circuitry [46] is less clear. Such ‘stress acceleration’ may reflect an adaptive response to meet the demands of a harsh early environment [40,51]. Consistent with the idea that more rapid corticolimbic neural maturation may confer some initial benefit following stress exposure, youth who exhibited more mature patterns of corticolimbic connectivity also had lower internalizing symptoms [44,82] and slower telomere shortening and pubertal tempo [47]. However, the ways in which changes in the timing

of circuit development — including potential alteration of the timing or trajectory of an adolescent sensitive period — may be linked with later psychopathology is not yet clear.

The social environment during adolescence

In part due to dynamic changes in neurobiological development and pubertal maturation, youth become more highly attuned to the social environment as they enter adolescence [2,52], with peer relationships playing a central role in adolescent wellbeing [53–55]. This increased sensitivity to the social environment represents a distinct shift from childhood and may mark a period during which social stressors exert disproportionate effects relative to other stages of life. Indeed, adolescents are particularly susceptible to social risks and peer rejection, which in turn are associated with depressive symptoms [56].

Alongside the burgeoning importance of peer relations, stress and support at the family level continue to play a critical role in adolescence. Although adolescents are more attuned to social stressors [56], they are also more sensitive to positive social experiences such as social approval and supportive caregiving [57,58]. Indeed, sensitivity to supportive caregiving is heightened in adolescence and associated with increased reward responsiveness and better mental health, even for adolescents who experienced intense psychosocial stress during childhood [57]. Supportive caregiving can buffer against the effects of social stressors such as peer victimization on mood and behavior [59] and may also exert protective effects at the neural level. Several recent studies suggest that supportive caregiving buffers against stress-associated changes in neural connectivity [60] and fronto-amygdala structural development [61] and may attenuate anxiety via cortical activation during adolescence [62]. These effects of supportive caregiving on adolescent mental health and neurodevelopment indicate that parent and caregiver relationships continue to be of great importance for adolescent wellbeing, despite emerging independence and a shift toward increased salience of peer relationships. Together, this body of work emphasizes that adolescence may be a sensitive window for heightened importance of the social environment, including both positive effects of supportive caregiving and more deleterious effects of social stressors such as peer victimization.

While understanding developmental shifts in the role of peers and family is crucial to clarifying the effects of stress exposure during adolescence, individual variation in neural function remains important to consider and may moderate associations between stress exposure and cognitive and emotional state. Indeed, individual

variation in ventral striatal and amygdalar activation during anticipation of a social reward moderated the effects of family conflict on psychopathology [63], suggesting that individual neural sensitivity to social context plays an important role in linking the effects of social stressors with psychopathology. Sensitivity to social context may also be a pathway through which stressors experienced in adolescence impact processes such as emotion regulation that have been closely tied to psychopathology. For example, one recent study found that for girls with heightened sensitivity to social rejection, less effective recruitment of key neural regions involved in emotion regulation was associated with a history of more peer victimization [64]. These findings support the formulation that individual differences in susceptibility [65] may moderate the extent to which social context influences emotion regulation and mental health during adolescence.

Directions for future research in the study of stress during adolescence

Despite remarkable advances in the science of adolescent development and stress, there remain important questions about the ways in which stress impacts adolescent wellbeing. Research examining how specific dimensions of stress exposure differentially impact neurodevelopment and mental health may aid in elucidating the range of outcomes following stress [13,66]. Frameworks identifying certain features of stress exposure as particularly salient, such as threat and deprivation [67] or unpredictability [68], have already proved fruitful in understanding how the brain may be shaped by distinct aspects of stress exposure. Leveraging such theoretical advances in conjunction with examining timing-specific effects [66,69,70] may yield a richer understanding of associations between dimensions of stress exposure in adolescence and the emergence of psychopathology.

Further parsing associations between stress exposure, neurobiological development, and psychosocial functioning will require a clearer understanding of the timing of sensitive periods throughout development. As sensitive periods represent times when neural regions or circuits are tuning their function in an experience-expectant manner, identifying the timing and duration of sensitive periods for key neural circuits and functions is critical for advancing knowledge of how to optimally prevent and treat stress-related psychopathology [19,30,71,72]. Moreover, specific dimensions of stress may be particularly impactful when experienced during specific stages of development (e.g. during a sensitive period for a given circuit or region) [66]. Thus, more thoroughly phenotyping how the environment interacts with sensitive periods may further elucidate the nature of heterogeneity in developmental outcomes following stress.

In addition to theory-driven advances in how stress exposure affects the adolescent brain, there have been recent advances in approaches to modeling complex change during developmental periods such as adolescence. Analytical methods such as structural equation modeling and generalized additive modeling may more accurately capture complex region-specific nonlinear neural maturational trajectories, as well as associations with biological and environmental factors [28,49]. In parallel, usage of unsupervised learning methods such as similarity network fusion, latent profile analysis, and sparse canonical correlation analysis may help to identify latent patterns that characterize subgroups of individuals [73,74] or multivariate links between network connectivity and psychopathology [75,76]. Data-driven, circuit-based approaches that move beyond region-of-interest investigations [77,78] will lead to a more encompassing view of the complex effects of stress on neurodevelopment and have the additional benefit of reducing bias in results [79]. Finally, the advent of large, multisite, open-source, longitudinal studies such as the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study [80,81] will facilitate the identification of robust, generalizable patterns of neurodevelopment through adolescence. Such methodological advancements allow a more precise mapping of neurodevelopmental trajectories and parsing of co-occurrences between brain, environmental exposures, and psychopathology during adolescence.

Conclusions

Adolescence is a highly dynamic period characterized by both vulnerability and opportunity. Here, we review recent evidence that adolescence represents a sensitive window during which maturational change in neuroendocrine systems, neurodevelopment, and social sensitivity render youth uniquely attuned to stress and support. Conceptualizing adolescence as a sensitive window during which plasticity is increased across multiple systems and metrics may aid in more clearly unraveling links between environmental exposures, neurodevelopment, and risk for psychopathology.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program award (NSF DGE-1752134) for LMS, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (U01DA041174), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Director's Early Independence Award (DP5OD021370), Brain and Behavior Research Foundation (NARSAD Young Investigator Award), Jacobs Foundation Early Career Research Fellowship, and The Society for Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology (Division 53 of the American Psychological Association) Richard "Dick" Abidin Early Career Award and Grant for DGG.

References

- Jaworska N, MacQueen G: **Adolescence as a unique developmental period.** *J. Psychiatry Neurosci. JPN.* 2015, **40**: 291–293, <https://doi.org/10.1503/jpn.150268>.
- Blakemore S-J, Mills KL: **Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing?** *Annu Rev Psychol* 2014, **65**: 187–207, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202>.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE: **Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication.** <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593>.
- McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC: **Childhood adversities and first onset of psychiatric disorders in a national sample of US adolescents.** *Arch Gen Psychiatr* 2012, **69**:1151–1160, <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.2277>.
- McEwen BS: **What is the confusion with cortisol?** *Chronic Stress* 2019, **3**, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2470547019833647.247054701983364>.
- McEwen BS, Nasca C, Gray JD: **Stress effects on neuronal structure: Hippocampus, amygdala, and prefrontal cortex.** *Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll. Neuro-psychopharmacol.* 2016, **41**:3–23, <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.171>.
- Engel ML, Gunnar MR: **Chapter Three - the development of stress reactivity and regulation during human development.** In *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* Edited by Clow A, Smyth N, Academic Press; 2020:41–76, <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.im.2019.11.003>.
- Herting MM, Sowell ER: **Puberty and structural brain development in humans.** *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2017, **44**:122–137, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrme.2016.12.003>.
- Romeo RD: **The metamorphosis of adolescent hormonal stress reactivity: a focus on animal models.** *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2018, **49**:43–51, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrme.2017.12.003>.
- Vijayakumar N, Op de Macks Z, Shirtcliff EA, Pfeifer JH: **Puberty and the human brain: insights into adolescent development.** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2018, **92**:417–436, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.06.004>.
- Romeo RD: **Adolescence: a central event in shaping stress reactivity.** *Dev Psychobiol* 2010, **52**:244–253, <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20437>.
- Teicher MH, Samson JA: **Annual Research Review: enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect.** <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12507>.
- Ellis BJ, Figueredo AJ, Brumbach BH, Schlomer GL: **Fundamental dimensions of environmental risk : the impact of harsh versus unpredictable environments on the evolution and development of life history strategies.** *Hum. Nat. Hawthorne N.* 2009, **20**:204–268, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12110-009-9063-7>.
- Frankenhuis WE, Walasek N: **Modeling the evolution of sensitive periods.** *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2020, **41**:100715, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2019.100715>.
- DePasquale CE, Donzella B, Gunnar MR: **Pubertal recalibration of cortisol reactivity following early life stress: a cross-sectional analysis.** *JCPP (J Child Psychol Psychiatry)* 2019, **60**: 566–575, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12992>.
- Gunnar MR, DePasquale CE, Reid BM, Donzella B, Miller BS: **Pubertal stress recalibration reverses the effects of early life stress in postinstitutionalized children.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am* 2019, **116**:23984–23988, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1909699116>.
- DePasquale CE, Herzberg MP, Gunnar MR: **The pubertal stress recalibration hypothesis: potential neural and behavioral consequences.** *Child Dev. Perspect.* 2021, **15**(4):249–256, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12429>.
- Perry NB, DePasquale CE, Donzella B, Gunnar MR: **Associations between stress reactivity and behavior problems for**

- previously institutionalized youth across puberty. *Dev Psychopathol* 2020, **32**:1854–1863, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579420001297>.
19. Luby JL, Baram TZ, Rogers CE, Barch DM: **Neurodevelopmental optimization after early-life adversity: cross-species studies to elucidate sensitive periods and brain mechanisms to inform early intervention.** *Trends Neurosci* 2020, **43**:744–751, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2020.08.001>.
 20. Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C: **Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2009, **10**:434–445, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2639>.
 21. Andersen SL: **Trajectories of brain development: point of vulnerability or window of opportunity?** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2003, **27**:3–18, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634\(03\)00005-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634(03)00005-8).
 22. Giedd JN, Blumenthal J, Jeffries NO, Castellanos FX, Liu H, Zijdenbos A, Paus T, Evans AC, Rapoport JL: **Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal MRI study.** *Nat Neurosci* 1999, **2**:861–863, <https://doi.org/10.1038/13158>.
 23. Gogtay N, Giedd J, Lusk L, Hayashi K, Greenstein D, Vaituzis A, Nugent TF, Herman D, Clasen L, Toga A, Rapoport J, Thompson P: **Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004, **101**(21):8174–8179, <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.0402680101>.
 24. Lebel C, Deoni S: **The development of brain white matter microstructure.** *Neuroimage* 2018, **182**:207–218, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.097>.
 25. Meissner TW, Genç E, Mädler B, Weigelt S: **Myelination of major white matter tracts continues beyond childhood—combining tractography and myelin water imaging.** *BioRxiv* 2019:622233, <https://doi.org/10.1101/622233>.
 26. Sydnor VJ, Larsen B, Bassett DS, Alexander-Bloch A, Fair DA, Liston C, Mackey AP, Milham MP, Pines A, Roalf DR, Seidlitz J, Xu T, Raznahan A, Satterthwaite TD: **Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: patterns, mechanisms, and implications for psychopathology.** *Neuron* 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.06.016>. S0896-6273(21)00457–8.
 27. Cui Z, Li H, Xia CH, Larsen B, Adebimpe A, Baum GL, Cieslak M, Gur RE, Gur RC, Moore TM, Oathes DJ, Alexander-Bloch AF, Raznahan A, Roalf DR, Shinohara RT, Wolf DH, Davatzikos C, Bassett DS, Fair DA, Fan Y, Satterthwaite TD: **Individual variation in functional topography of association networks in youth.** *Neuron* 2020, **106**:340–353.e8, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.029>.
 28. Larsen B, Luna B: **Adolescence as a neurobiological critical period for the development of higher-order cognition.** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2018, **94**:179–195, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.09.005>.
 29. Galván A: **Neural plasticity of development and learning.** *Hum Brain Mapp* 2010, **31**:879–890, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21029>.
 30. Nelson CA, Gabard-Durnam LJ: **Early adversity and critical periods: neurodevelopmental consequences of violating the expectable environment.** *Trends Neurosci* 2020. **0**, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2020.01.002>.
 31. Hensch TK: **Critical period regulation.** *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2004, **27**:549–579, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144327>.
 32. Hensch TK: **Critical period plasticity in local cortical circuits.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2005, **6**:877–888, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1787>.
 33. Reh RK, Dias BG, Nelson CA, Kaufer D, Werker JF, Kolb B, Levine JD, Hensch TK: **Critical period regulation across multiple timescales.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am* 2020, **117**:23242–23251, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1820836117>.
 34. Takesian AE, Bogart LJ, Lichtman JW, Hensch TK: **Inhibitory circuit gating of auditory critical-period plasticity.** *Nat Neurosci* 2018, **21**:218–227, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0064-2>.
 35. Larsen B, Cui Z, Adebimpe A, Pines A, Alexander-Bloch A, Bertolero M, Calkins ME, Gur RE, Gur RC, Mahadevan AS, Moore TM, Roalf DR, Seidlitz J, Sydnor VJ, Wolf DH, Satterthwaite TD: **A developmental reduction of the excitation: inhibition ratio in association cortex during adolescence.** *BioRxiv* 2021. 2021.05.19.444703. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.19.444703>.
 36. Harkness KL, Bruce AE, Lumley MN: **The role of childhood abuse and neglect in the sensitization to stressful life events in adolescent depression.** *J Abnorm Psychol* 2006, **115**:730–741, <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.115.4.730>.
 37. Wade M, Zeanah CH, Fox NA, Tibu F, Ciolan LE, Nelson CA: **Stress sensitization among severely neglected children and protection by social enrichment.** *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:5771, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13622-3>.
 38. Hanson JL, Albert WD, Skinner AT, Shen SH, Dodge KA, Lansford JE: **Resting state coupling between the amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex is related to household income in childhood and indexes future psychological vulnerability to stress.** *Dev Psychopathol* 2019, **31**:1053–1066, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000592>.
 39. Weissman DG, Lambert HK, Rodman AM, Peverill M, Sheridan MA, McLaughlin KA: **Reduced hippocampal and amygdala volume as a mechanism underlying stress sensitization to depression following childhood trauma.** *Depress Anxiety* 2020, **37**:916–925, <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.23062>.
 40. Callaghan BL, Tottenham N: **The stress acceleration hypothesis: effects of early-life adversity on emotion circuits and behavior.** *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 2016, **7**:76–81, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.11.018>.
 41. Belsky J: **Early-life adversity accelerates Child and adolescent development.** *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 2019, **28**:241–246, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721419837670>.
 42. Colich NL, Rosen ML, Williams ES, McLaughlin KA: **Biological aging in childhood and adolescence following experiences of threat and deprivation: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Psychol Bull* 2020, **146**:721–764, <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000270>.
 43. Thijssen S, Collins PF, Luciana M: **Pubertal development mediates the association between family environment and brain structure and function in childhood.** *Dev Psychopathol* 2020, **32**:687–702, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000580>.
 44. Gee DG, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Flannery J, Goff B, Humphreys KL, Telzer EH, Hare TA, Bookheimer SY, Tottenham N: **Early developmental emergence of human amygdala–prefrontal connectivity after maternal deprivation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am* 2013, **110**:15638–15643, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307893110>.
 45. Gee DG, Humphreys KL, Flannery J, Goff B, Telzer EH, Shapiro M, Hare TA, Bookheimer SY, Tottenham N: **A developmental shift from positive to negative connectivity in human amygdala–prefrontal circuitry.** *J Neurosci* 2013, **33**:4584–4593, <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3446-12.2013>.
 46. Herzberg MP, McKenzie KJ, Hodel AS, Hunt RH, Mueller BA, Gunnar MR, Thomas KM: **Accelerated maturation in functional connectivity following early life stress: circuit specific or broadly distributed?** *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 2021, **48**:100922, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2021.100922>.
 47. Miller JG, Ho TC, Humphreys KL, King LS, Foland-Ross LC, Colich NL, Ordaz SJ, Lin J, Gotlib IH: **Early life stress, frontoamygdala connectivity, and biological aging in adolescence: a longitudinal investigation.** *Cerebr Cortex* 2020, **30**:4269–4280, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaa057>.
 48. Silvers JA, Lumian DS, Gabard-Durnam L, Gee DG, Goff B, Fareri DS, Caldera C, Flannery J, Telzer EH, Humphreys KL, Tottenham N: **Previous institutionalization is followed by broader amygdala–hippocampal–PFC network connectivity during aversive learning in human development.** *J Neurosci* 2016, **36**, <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0038-16.2016>.

49. Keding TJ, Heyn SA, Russell JD, Zhu X, Cisler J, McLaughlin KA, Herringa RJ: **Differential patterns of delayed emotion circuit maturation in abused girls with and without internalizing psychopathology.** *Am J Psychiatr* 2021. [appi.ajp.2021.20081192](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20081192). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20081192>.
50. McLaughlin KA, Weissman D, Bitrán D: **Childhood adversity and neural development: a systematic review.** *Annu. Rev. Dev. Psychol.* 2019, **1**:277–312, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-084950>.
51. Tottenham N: **Social scaffolding of human amygdala-mPFC circuit development.** *Soc Neurosci* 2015, **10**:489–499, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2015.1087424>.
52. Somerville LH: **Special issue on the teenage brain: sensitivity to social evaluation.** *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 2013, **22**:121–127, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721413476512>.
53. van Van Harmelen A-L, Kievit RA, Ioannidis K, Neufeld S, Jones PB, Bullmore E, Dolan R, Consortium TN, Fonagy P, Goodyer I: **Adolescent friendships predict later resilient functioning across psychosocial domains in a healthy community cohort.** *Psychol Med* 2017, **47**:2312–2322, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000836>.
54. Roach A: **Supportive peer relationships and mental health in adolescence: an integrative review, issues ment.** *Health Nurs* 2018, **39**:723–737, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2018.1496498>.
55. Sahi RS, Ninova E, Silvers JA: **With a little help from my friends: selective social potentiation of emotion regulation.** *J Exp Psychol Gen* 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000853>.
56. Andrews JL, Foulkes LE, Bone JK, Blakemore S-J: **Amplified concern for social risk in adolescence: development and validation of a new measure.** *Brain Sci* 2020, **10**:E397, <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10060397>.
57. Colich NL, Sheridan MA, Humphreys KL, Wade M, Tibu F, Nelson CA, Zeanah CH, Fox NA, McLaughlin KA: **Heightened sensitivity to the caregiving environment during adolescence: implications for recovery following early-life adversity.** *JCPP (J Child Psychol Psychiatry)* 2020, **62**(8), <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13347>.
58. Jones RM, Somerville LH, Li J, Ruberry EJ, Powers A, Mehta N, Dyke J, Casey BJ: **Adolescent-specific patterns of behavior and neural activity during social reinforcement learning.** *Cognit Affect Behav Neurosci* 2014, **14**:683–697, <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-014-0257-z>.
59. Rudolph KD, Monti JD, Modi H, Sze WY, Troop-Gordon W: **Protecting youth against the adverse effects of peer victimization: why do parents matter?** *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2020, **48**:163–176, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-019-00576-9>.
60. Brody GH, Yu T, Nusslock R, Barton AW, Miller GE, Chen E, Holmes C, McCormick M, Sweet LH: **The protective effects of supportive parenting on the relationship between adolescent poverty and resting-state functional brain connectivity during adulthood.** *Psychol Sci* 2019, **30**:1040–1049, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619847989>.
61. Whittle S, Vijayakumar N, Simmons JG, Dennison M, Schwartz O, Pantelis C, Sheeber L, Byrne ML, Allen NB: **Role of positive parenting in the association between neighborhood social disadvantage and brain development across adolescence.** *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017, **74**:824–832, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.1558>.
62. Butterfield RD, Silk JS, Lee KH, Siegle GS, Dahl RE, Forbes EE, Ryan ND, Hooley JM, Ladouceur CD: **Parents still matter! Parental warmth predicts adolescent brain function and anxiety and depressive symptoms 2 years later.** *Dev Psychopathol* 2021, **33**:226–239, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419001718>.
63. Turpyn CC, Jorgensen NA, Prinstein MJ, Lindquist KA, Telzer EH: **Social neural sensitivity as a susceptibility marker to family context in predicting adolescent externalizing behavior.** *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2021, **51**:100993, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2021.100993>.
64. Rudolph KD, Skymba HV, Modi HH, Davis MM, Yan Sze W, Rosswurm CP, Telzer EH: **How does peer adversity “Get inside the Brain?” Adolescent girls’ differential susceptibility to neural dysregulation of emotion following victimization.** *Dev Psychobiol* 2021, **63**:481–495, <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.22022>.
65. Ellis BJ, Boyce WT, Belsky J, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van Ijzendoorn MH: **Differential susceptibility to the environment: an evolutionary–neurodevelopmental theory.** *Dev Psychopathol* 2011, **23**:7–28, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000611>.
66. Cohodes EM, Kitt ER, Baskin-Sommers A, Gee DG: **Influences of early-life stress on frontolimbic circuitry: harnessing a dimensional approach to elucidate the effects of heterogeneity in stress exposure.** *Dev Psychobiol* 2021, **63**:153–172, <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21969>.
67. McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Lambert HK: **Childhood adversity and neural development: deprivation and threat as distinct dimensions of early experience.** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2014, **47**:578–591, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.10.012>.
68. Glynn LM, Baram TZ: **The influence of unpredictable, fragmented parental signals on the developing brain.** *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2019, **53**:100736, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2019.01.002>.
69. Gee DG, Casey BJ: **The impact of developmental timing for stress and recovery.** *Neurobiol. Stress* 2015, **1**:184–194, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2015.02.001>.
70. Tottenham N, Sheridan M: **A review of adversity, the amygdala and the hippocampus: a consideration of developmental timing.** *Front Hum Neurosci* 2010, **3**:68, <https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.09.068.2009>.
71. Gabard-Durnam L, McLaughlin KA: **Sensitive periods in human development: charting a course for the future.** *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 2020, **36**:120–128, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.09.003>.
72. Yamamuro K, Bicks LK, Leventhal MB, Kato D, Im S, Flanigan ME, Garkun Y, Norman KJ, Caro K, Sadahiro M, Kullander K, Akbarian S, Russo SJ, Morishita H: **A prefrontal–paraventricular thalamus circuit requires juvenile social experience to regulate adult sociability in mice.** *Nat Neurosci* 2020, **23**:1240–1252, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0695-6>.
73. Hong S-J, Sisk LM, Caballero C, Mekhanik A, Roy AK, Milham MP, Gee DG: **Decomposing complex links between the childhood environment and brain structure in school-aged youth.** *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2021, **48**:100919, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2021.100919>.
74. Lichenstein SD, Roos C, Kohler R, Kiluk B, Carroll KM, Worhunsky PD, Witkiewitz K, Yip SW: **Identification and validation of distinct latent neurodevelopmental profiles in the adolescent brain and cognitive development study.** *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 2021, **S2451-9022**(21)00059–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.02.013>.
75. Wang H-T, Smallwood J, Mourao-Miranda J, Xia CH, Satterthwaite TD, Bassett DS, Bzdok D: **Finding the needle in a high-dimensional haystack: canonical correlation analysis for neuroscientists.** *Neuroimage* 2020, **216**:116745, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116745>.
76. Xia CH, Ma Z, Ciric R, Gu S, Betzel RF, Kaczkurkin AN, Calkins ME, Cook PA, Garcia de la Garza A, Vandekar SN, Cui Z, Moore TM, Roalf DR, Ruparel K, Wolf DH, Davatzikos C, Gur RC, Gur RE, Shinohara RT, Bassett DS, Satterthwaite TD: **Linked dimensions of psychopathology and connectivity in functional brain networks.** *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**(1):1–4, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05317-y>.
77. Casey B, Galván A, Somerville LH: **Beyond simple models of adolescence to an integrated circuit-based account: a commentary.** *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2016, **17**:128–130, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.12.006>.
78. Casey B, Heller AS, Gee DG, Cohen AO: **Development of the emotional brain.** *Neurosci Lett* 2019, **693**:29–34, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.11.055>.

79. Turk-Browne NB: *Functional interactions as big data in the human brain*. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2013, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1238409>.
80. Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, Soules ME, Teslovich T, Dellarco DV, Garavan H, Orr CA, Wager TD, Banich MT, Speer NK, Sutherland MT, Riedel MC, Dick AS, Bjork JM, Thomas KM, Chaarani B, Mejia MH, Hagler DJ, Daniela Cornejo M, Sicut CS, Harms MP, Dosenbach NUF, Rosenberg M, Earl E, Bartsch H, Watts R, Polimeni JR, Kuperman JM, Fair DA, Dale AM: **The adolescent brain cognitive development (ABCD) study: imaging acquisition across 21 sites**. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2018, **32**:43–54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.03.001>.
81. Simmons C, Conley MI, Gee DG, Baskin-Sommers A, Barch DM, Hoffman EA, Huber RS, Iacono WG, Nagel BJ, Palmer CE, Sheth CS, Sowell ER, Thompson WK, Casey BJ: **Responsible use of open-access developmental data: the adolescent brain cognitive development (ABCD) study**. *Psychol. Sci.* 2021, 09567976211003564, <https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976211003564>.
- [82]. Brieant AE, Sisk LM, Gee DG: **Associations among negative life events, changes in cortico-limbic connectivity, and psychopathology in the ABCD Study**. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* 2021, **52**, 1878-9293, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2021.101022>.